



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	LING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/741,929	12/19/2003	Clarence Nathaniel Ahlem	202.2D6	4810
26551 75	590 01/12/2006	EXAMINER		
HOLLIS-EDE	EN PHARMACEUTIC	BADIO, BARBARA P		
4435 EASTGA	TE MALL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 400			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92121	1617		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/741,929		AHLEM ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit				
_		!_		Badio, Ph.D.	1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appe	ears on the d	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS (a). In no even apply and will obtained the applic	S COMMUNICATION I, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
,									
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 and 32-37 is/are pend	ding in the ap	pplication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>32-37</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election red	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: а) 🗌 ассеј	pted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the di	rawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	on is required	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority		-		-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies			• •		Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau	(PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s) ′			·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/05, 7/05, 10/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Non-final Office Action on the Merits

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Status of the Application

2. Claims 1-10 and 32-37 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-10 stand withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Claims 32-37 will be examined according to MPEP § 803.02.

Double Patenting

- 3. The provisional rejection of claims 25-28 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-10, 15-19, 22 and 23 of copending Application No. 10/877,911 is made moot by the cancellation of the instant claims.
- 4. Claims 32-37 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10, 15-19, and 23 of copending Application No. 10/877,911. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both encompass a method of treating osteoporosis utilizing the claimed compounds. Unlike the copending Application, the present Application recites a limited genus of compounds.

Art Unit: 1617

However, there is an overlap in the compounds recited by the two inventions. For example, both encompass applicant's elected compound, i.e., 3α ,17 β -dihydroxy-19-norandrost-4-ene (see claim 37 of the present Application and claim 19, 4th compound of the copending Application) and, thus, the utilization of the elected compound in the treatment of osteoporosis would be obvious to the skilled artisan based on the disclosure of the cited copending Application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. Claims 32-34 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/749,981. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications encompass the treatment of osteoporosis by administering a composition comprising androst-5-ene- 3β ,17 β -diol. Unlike the copending application, the instant claims recite a broader genus of compounds and is limited to treatment of osteoporosis. However, the selection of androst-5-ene- 3β ,17 β -diol from the genus taught by the present application would be obvious based on the disclosure of the corresponding androst-5-ene- 3α ,17 β -diol as recited by claim 36 of the present application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/741,929 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The rejection of claims 25-28 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is made moot by the cancellation of the instant claims.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The instant claims are indefinite for the following reasons:

(a) claim 32 recites but does not identify "R¹³" and (b) claim 34 recites the limitation "R⁹ is –O-" or "R⁹ is –NH-" in (18) and (19) and there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

- 9. The objection of claims 25-28 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) is made moot by the cancellation of the instant claims.
- 10. Claim 36 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Application/Control Number: 10/741,929

Art Unit: 1617

Claim 36 recites compounds such as 3α ,17 β -dihydroxyandrost-4-ene and 3α ,17 β -dihydroxyandrost-5-ene not encompassed by the parent claim. Parent claim 35 is limited to 19-nor steroid derivatives.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 11. The rejection of claims 25-28 under 35 USC 102(b) over Kousteni et al. is withdrawn.
- 12. Claims 32, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Labrie (US 6,432,940).

Labrie teaches the utilization of androst-5-en-3β,17β-diol in the treatment of osteoporosis (see the entire article, especially Abstract; col. 2, lines 35-41). The method of use taught by the reference is encompassed by the instant claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The rejection of claims 25-28 under 35 USC 103(a) over Kousteni et al. is withdrawn.
- 14. Claims 32-34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Labrie (US 6,432,940).

Labrie teaches the utilization of androst-5-en-3β,17β-diol in the treatment of osteoporosis (see the entire article, especially Abstract; col. 2, lines 35-41).

Art Unit: 1617

The instant claims encompass the 3α-isomer of the prior art compound.

However, the court has held that a compound that is isomeric with the prior art compound is unpatentable unless it possesses some unobvious or unexpected beneficial property not possessed by the prior art compound. *In re Norris*, 179 F.2d 970, 84 USPQ 458 (CCPA 1970). Based on the decision by the court, the instantly claimed invention is not patentable over the cited prior art.

Other Matters

15. Applicant's statement that filing of a terminal disclaimer at this time is premature is noted.

Telephone Inquiry

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara P. Badio Ph.D.

Page 7

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1617

BB January 10, 2006